Faulk, Camilla

From:

Eron Berg [eberg@ci.sedro-woolley.wa.us]

Sent:

Thursday, October 27, 2011 2:43 PM

To:

Faulk, Camilla

Cc: Subject: Mike Anderson; Brian L. Stiles Indigent Defense Standards

Public Comments regarding proposed standards/Court Rule amendments

TO: Clerk of the Supreme Court

FROM: Eron Berg, City Supervisor/Attorney, City of Sedro-Woolley

DATE: October 27, 2011

I am writing regarding the proposed rules on indigent defense and its applicability to municipal courts. I represent the City of Sedro-Woolley, a city of 10,600 people with a full service municipal court. Our city is proud to have maintained an independent court, located within our city limits, with a local attorney as its judge, a local attorney as its public defender and another as its prosecutor. Due to rising costs, many of our neighbors have consolidated with the county district court, offering exposure to justice in a less personal, less community centered manner. Sedro-Woolley values its municipal court very much.

This proposal threatens to transform our court by either, 1. Mandating a significant increase in costs to provide additional public defense services; or 2. Forcing choices by law enforcement and the prosecution regarding the types of offenses and cases that will be cited and prosecuted. If the former is selected by our city leadership, then the resulting impact on the budget would be additional layoffs in other departments, compounding a 2012 budget that includes across the board 5% pay cuts, layoffs and other cuts. If the latter is selected, then we will be writing infractions for crimes and unintentionally denying the very same defendants this rule is intended to help access public defenders.

From published news reports and testimony given to the WSBA Board of Governors, it is clear that an issue may exist somewhere in Washington State. That issue does not exist everywhere and this one-size-fits-all approach being recommended by a majority of the BOG is detrimental to the administration of justice in smaller communities like Sedro-Woolley. I urge the Court to reject this rule, exempt misdemeanor cases from the standards, or return the issue again to the BOG for further consideration and review on the issue of the proposal's impact on municipal courts.

Thanks,

Eron Berg

cc:

Mayor Anderson Judge Stiles

Advisory: Please be advised the City of Sedro-Woolley is required to comply with the Public Disclosure Act Chapter 42.56 RCW. This act establishes a strong state mandate in favor of disclosure of public records. As such, the information you submit to the City via email, including personal information, may ultimately be subject to disclosure as a public record.

Despite the Uniform Electronic Transactions Act or the application of any other law of similar substance or effect, in the absence of an express statement to the contrary in this e-mail message, this e-mail message, its contents and any attachments, are not intended to represent an offer or acceptance to enter into a contract and are not otherwise intended to bind the sender of this e-mail message or any other person.

This email is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 USC 2510-2521 and is legally privileged. The information contained in this electronic message is intended only for the use of the recipient named above. If you are not the intended recipient, be advised that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of this transmission is prohibited. If you have received this electronic message transmission in error, please notify the sender at (360) 855-1661 or reply e-mail and delete the original message. Thank you.